Hearing date and time: September 26, 2011, 9:45 a.m. Objection deadline: September 12, 2011

PREET BHARARA

United States Attorney

for the Southern District of New York

By: David S. Jones

Natalie N. Kuehler

**Assistant United States Attorneys** 

86 Chambers Street, Third Floor

New York, NY 10007

Tel.: (212) 637-2800

Fax: (212) 637-2730

david.jones6@usdoj.gov

natalie.kuehler@usdoj.gov

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

In re : Chapter 11 Case No.

MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, et al., : 09-50026 (REG)

f/k/a General Motors Corp., et al.

Debtors. : (Jointly Administered)

# OBJECTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO "APPLICATION OF MARK BUTTITA FOR ALLOWANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES INCURRED IN MAKING A SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTION IN THIS CHAPTER 11 CASE FROM JUNE 4, 2009 THROUGH JULY 9, 2009"

The United States of America, on behalf of its agency the United States

Department of the Treasury ("<u>Treasury</u>"), by and through its attorney Preet Bharara, United

States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, hereby submits this objection to the

Application of Mark Buttita for Allowance of Administrative Expenses Incurred from June 4,

2009 through July 9, 2009, Dkt. Nos. 10707 (the "<u>Fee Application</u>") and 10233 (notice of hearing). The Fee Application is based on an asserted "substantial contribution" of Buttita's

counsel during the case's first month and a half, during which Buttita strenuously objected to the asset sale that was the indispensable prerequisite for this case's successful outcome.

#### **DISCUSSION**

The Fee Application should be denied because it does not relate to work that made a "substantial contribution" to the estate, as required for fee awards under sections 503(b)(3)(D) and 503(b)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code. Rather, the Fee Application seeks compensation from the estate, which is funded by Treasury (along with Export Development Canada) as debtor-in-possession ("**DIP**") lender, for work opposing the sale transaction that was indispensable to the successful outcome of this case.

As Buttita acknowledges, to be eligible for compensation by the estate for substantial contributions to a case, the applicant must have performed a service leading to "an actual and demonstrable benefit to the debtor's estate, the creditors and, to the extent relevant, the stockholders." *In re Granite Partners*, 213 B.R. 440, 446 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1997). *Granite Partners* cautions that "the substantial contribution provisions must be narrowly construed," and that "[c]ompensable services foster and enhance—rather than retard and interrupt—the progress of reorganization." *Id.* at 445-46. And "services calculated primarily to benefit the client do not justify an award even if they also confer an indirect benefit on the estate," *id.* at 446; *see also In re A. Tarricone, Inc.*, 83 B.R. 253, 255 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1988) (creditor not entitled to "substantial contribution" fee award based on efforts to pursue client's rights as against debtor).

Here, far from making valuable contributions to further the success of the case or to benefit the estate as a whole, Buttita's counsel, during the early phase of this case, mounted vigorous opposition in an attempt to prevent the sale from occurring, unless certain concessions for the benefit of unknown future asbestos claimants were provided, specifically including a

request that the Court apply the requirements of Bankruptcy Code section 524(g) (concerning treatment under plans of reorganization of certain asbestos claimants) to the section 363 sale, notwithstanding that the sale was not, in fact, a plan and that the estate would need to develop a plan of liquidation at a later phase of the proceedings. See, e.g., Dkt Nos. 2148 (June 22, 2009) Joinder and Further Objection to [Sale] Motion), 2818 (June 30, 2009 Joinder and Further Objection of Mark Buttita to [Sale] Motion), 3013 (July 7, 2009 Joinder of Mark Buttita to motion seeking immediate appeal to Second Circuit or in the alternative seeking a stay of the Sale Order). Not only did this request not advance the interests of the estate as a whole or of any group other than future asbestos claimants who had not yet manifested illness (such that Buttita's standing was in doubt), but Buttita did not succeed in this effort. Rather, the Court merely inserted into its approval of the sale an explicit statement of what the law requires, namely, that the purchaser's non-assumption of debtor obligations to future asbestos claimants was subject to whatever limits the Constitution might impose – if any. This limitation cannot be said to have made "substantial contributions" to the case's success; rather, it was merely an articulation of a legal outer boundary to the effect of the Court's ruling.

Yet this ruling is the sole "contribution" that Buttita identifies. *See* Fee Application at ¶ 45 (quoting Sale Opinion, Dkt. No. 2967, at 62-63). This provision states what the permissible bounds and effect of the ruling would be even in the absence of such language, and, as such, it cannot constitute a "substantial contribution" to this case as a whole. Moreover, even if the inserted phrase had some independent significance, the effect of that language was to protect only a particular class of creditors, was not itself significant to the case going forward, and, arising as it did from opposition to the very sale that made success in the case possible, it cannot be said to evidence a "substantial contribution" to the case as a whole.

Nor should the Court award Buttita fees for work performed opposing the sale in June and July 2009 based on subsequent events in the case, such as the eventual appointment of an asbestos committee or a future claims representative. Those events, while themselves significant in the conduct of this case overall, are not attributable to Buttita's work in the case's early stages. Rather, that work involved pressing actual or asserted rights that would have existed whether or not Buttita's counsel performed the June and July 2009 work for which it now seeks to get paid. To confirm a plan of liquidation, Debtors needed either to secure the agreement of the asbestos claimant constituencies, or to prevail in litigation against them, which would have been timeconsuming and costly. Rather than incur the expense and delay that a contested proceeding would have required, Debtors prudently negotiated and reached agreements that satisfied asbestos claimants and their representatives, thereby permitting the case to proceed to plan confirmation. It was the dynamic of seeking and securing support for the plan and treatment of claims against the estate that led to the negotiated agreements between the Debtors and the asbestos representatives – not Buttita's early and strenuous opposition to the very sale that was indispensable for the orderly wind-down and payout to creditors that ultimately was achieved in this case.

In sum, because Buttita seeks payment for work that sought to "retard and interrupt" the sale that was the single most important factor in this case's success, and because that work in no way "foster[ed] and enhance[d]" the successful outcome of the case, his application should be denied.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Buttita's application for allowance of an administrative expense for fees incurred in June and July 2009 should be denied.

Dated: New York, New York September 12, 2011

> PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York

\_/s/ David S. Jones\_\_\_

By: David S. Jones
Natalie N. Kuehler
Assistant United States Attorneys
86 Chambers St., 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor
New York, NY 10007
Tel. (212) 637-2800
Fax (212) 637-2730
david.jones6@usdoj.gov
natalie.kuehler@usdoj.gov

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, David Jones, certify that I am an Assistant U.S. Attorney in the office of Preet Bharara, United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, and that I caused the foregoing pleading to be served as follows:

By electronic notice via ECF to all persons registered to receive such notice;

By email to the following: Elihu Inselbuch (ei@capdale.com); Rita Tobin (rct@capdale.com); Stephen Karotkin (Stephen.karotkin@weil.com); Thomas Moers Mayer (tmayer@kramerlevin.com); Michael Edelman (MJEdelman@vedderprice.com); Michael Schein (MSchein@vedderprice.com);

And by first class mail to the Office of the United States Trustee, and to all persons listed on the service list annexed hereto as Exhibit A.

s/ David S. Jones

New York, New York September 12, 2011

### EXHIBIT A

## 09-50026-mg Doc 10831 Filed 09/12/11 Entered 09/12/11 14:48:33 Main Document GENERAL MOP இதற்ற RS PORATION

SERVICE LIST

Claim Name	Address Information
ADRIAN ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT, INC.	C/O KENNETH RICHARDS 7533 WILLOW CREEK DRIVE CANTON MI 48187
AIMS/DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC	10 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO IL 60606
AIMS/LATHROP & GAGE LC	2345 GRAND BLVD. KANSAS CITY MO 64108
AIMS/STEPHENS & STEPHENS	410 MAIN STREET BUFFALO NY 14202
ARCADIS BBL	10559 CITATION DRIVE SUITE 100 BRIGHTON MI 48118
ARCADIS BBL	ATTN: CHRIS PETERS 10559 CITATION DRIVE SUITE 100 BRIGHTON MI 48118
ARCADIS GERAGHTY & MILLER, INC.	ATTN: CHRIS PETERS 10559 CITATION DRIVE SUITE 100 BRIGHTON MI 48118
BT2, INC.	ATTN: MARK HUBER 2830 DAIRY DRIVE MADISON WI 53718-6751
CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF FLINT	ATTN: SANDRA S WRIGHT 1490 S. DYE ROAD FLINT MI 48532
CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF YPSILANTI	LARRY J. DOE, TREASURER 7200 S. HURON RIVER DR. YESILANTI MI 48197
CHARTER TWP. OF GENESEE	ATTN: TOM MANNOR, TREASURER 7244 N. GENESSE ROAD P.O. BOX 215 GENESEE MI 48437
CITY OF SAGINAW, TREASURER	1315 S. WASHINGTON AVE. SAGINAW MI 48601
CITY OF SIOUX CITY	CITY TREASURER P.O. BOX 447 SIOUX CITY IA 51102
CLEAN HARBORS ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES	P.O. BOX 3442 BOSTON MA 02241-3442
CONESTOGA-ROVERS & ASSOC.	ATTN: BETH LANDALE 22055 NIAGARA FALLS BLVD. SUITE #3 NIAGARA FALLS NY 14304
CONESTOGA-ROVERS & ASSOCIATES	22055 NIAGARA FALLS BLVD SUTIE #3 NIAGARA FALLS NY 14304
ENCORE ENVIRONMENTAL CONSORTIUM	ATTN: MARK QUILTER P.O. BOX 66 6723 TOWPATH ROAD SYRACUSE NY 13214-0066
ENVIRON INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION	214 CARNEGIE STREET PRINCETON NJ 08540
ENVIRON INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION	214 CARNEGIE STREET PRINCETON NJ 08540-1980
FAVERO GEOSCIENCES	ATTN: DAVE FAVERO 1210 SOUTH 5TH STREET, SUITE 2 SPRINGFIELD IL 62703
GENERAL OIL COMPANY, INC.	35796 VERONICA ST. LIVONIA MI 48150
GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING INC.	6140 HILL 23 DRIVE SUITE 1 FLINT MI 48507
GROUNDWATER & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES,	440 CREAMERY WAY SUITE 500 EXTON PA 19341-2577
INC	
HALEY & ALDRICH DESIGN AND CONTRUCTION	56 ROLAND STREET BOSTON MA 02129-1400
HALEY & ALDRICH OF NEW YORK	200 TOWN CENTRE DRIVE, STE 2 ROCHESTER NY 14623-4264
HDR ENGINEERING	ATTN: DICK BELL 8404 INDIAN HILLS DRIVE OMAHA NE 68114
IOWA DEPT OF NATIONAL RESOURCES	HAZARDOUS WASTE REMEDIAL FUND 502 E. 9TH STREET DES MOINES IA 50319-0034
J.A. LOMBARDO & ASSOCIATES	ATTN: JOSEPH A. LOMBARDO 445 S. LIVERNOIS - SUITE 202 ROCHESTER MI 48307
NOVA CONSULTANTS, INC	21580 NOVI ROAD #300 NOVI MI 48375
O'BRÍEN & GERE ENGINEERS, INC.	ATTN: TERRY L. BROWN 5000 BRITTONFIELD PKWY SYRACUSE NY 13057-9226
ROYAL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.	720 LEXINGTON AVENUE P.O. BOX 15719 ROCHESTER NY 14615
SEVENSON ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.	2749 LOCKPORT ROAD NIAGARA FALLS NY 14302
THE BANK OF NEW YORK	FINANCIAL CONTROL BILLING DEPARTMENT P.O. BOX 19445 NEWARK NJ 07195-0445
THE BARTECH GROUP	17199 NORTH LAUREL PARK DR. SUITE224 LIVONIA MI 48152
TOWN OF FRAMINGHAM	TAX COLLECTOR'S OFFICE 150 CONCORD ST FRAMINGHAM MA 01702
WASHTENAW COUNTY TREASURER	P.O. BOX 8645 200 N. MAIN ST STE 200 ANN ARBOR MI 48107-8645
WASTE MANAGEMENT	P.O. BOX 9001054 LOUISVILLE KY 40290-1054
WDC EXPLORATION & WELLS	500 MAIN STREET WOODLAND CA 95695
YOUNG'S ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP, INC	G-5305 NORTH DORT HIGHWAY FLINT MI 48505

**Total Creditor count 39**